

REMARKS

Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12 and 14-16 are now present in this application.

The specification and claims 2, 3, 6-8, 10, 12 and 14 have been amended and claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17-19 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Reconsideration of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 USC 102

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Murata, U.S. Publication 2002/0009988. It is noted that the Examiner does not list claims 12 and 15 in the rejection on page 2 of the Office Action; however, these claims are discussed in the body of the rejection. This rejection should be clarified, but the rejection is nonetheless respectfully traversed.

First, Applicants submit that claims 2, 8 and 12 now overcomes this rejection, since the limitations of claim 1 and 5 have been added to claim 2, the limitation of claim 9 have been added to claim 8, and the limitation of claim 13 have been added to claim 12. In addition, claim 1 has been canceled.

Furthermore, Murata discloses a propitious information distribution system using a wireless mobile terminal. The propitious system comprises an information serving terminal 1, an intermediate server 2 and a wireless mobile terminal 3. Such wireless mobile terminal may include a portable telephone which is carried by the person, or a PHS. The wireless mobile terminal comprises a CPU 22, a ROM 42, a RAM 26, a driver 28, a display 27, an input part 41, a modulator 24, an amplifier 25 and an antenna 43.

The amended claim 2 is directed toward a mobile phone providing religious prayers, comprising: a memory module storing at least one set of prayer voice data; a voice module used to play said set of prayer voice data; a processing module electrically connected to said memory module and said voice module and used to retrieve said set of prayer voice data in said memory module to send said set of prayer voice data to said voice module for playback; a man-machine interface module electrically connected to said processing module and having an operation menu with a religious prayers item, said man-machine interface being used to set said processing module to play said set of prayer voice data; and a prayers ring tone item in said operation menu of said man-machine interface module to set said processing module to play said set of prayer voice data as the ring tone when said mobile phone has an incoming call.

The amended claim 8 is directed toward a method of playing religious prayers for a mobile phone, comprising entering a menu having a religious prayers item; selecting at least one set of prayer voice data for playing; retrieving said set of prayer voice data; playing said set of prayer voice data; and setting a telephone service interrupt value.

The amended claim 12 is directed toward a method of displaying religious prayers for a mobile phone, comprising: entering a menu having a religious prayers item; setting a telephone service interrupt value; selecting at least one set of religious text data for display; retrieving said set of religious text data; and displaying said set of religious text data through operations of a user.

Murata does not teach “a man-machine interface module electrically connected to said processing module and having an operation menu with a religious prayers item, said man-machine interface being used to set said processing module to play said set of prayer voice data”,

and “a prayers ring tone item is added to said operation menu of said man-machine interface module to set said processing module to play said set of prayer voice data as the ring tone when said mobile phone has an incoming call” as recite in claim 2. Furthermore, Murata does not teach the “setting a telephone service interrupt value” as recite in claim 8 and “setting a telephone service interrupt value” as recite in claim 12. Applicant submits that claims 2, 8 and 12 are not anticipated thereby.

Claims 6-7 and 15 depend from claim 2 and 12 and, as such, are also considered to be allowance. In addition, each of these claims recites other features which make these claims additionally allowable.

Rejection under 35 USC 103

Claims 3, 4, 9, 10, 13 and 14 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Murata in view of Okada et al., U.s. Publication 2003/0100347. In this rejection, the Examiner does not list claim 11 in the rejection on page 4 of the Office Action; however, this claim is discussed in the body of the rejection. This rejection should be clarified, but the rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 16 stands rejected under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Murata in view of Lee et al., U.S. Patent 6,785,562. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Murata in view of Yoon, U.s. Patent 6,675,026, and further in view of Wyatt, “Christ Church and St. John’s Parish Magazine.” This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Yoon in view of Wyatt. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Yoon in view of Wyatt and Okada, U.S. Publication 2003/0100347. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

First, Applicants submit that claims 2, 8 and 12 now overcome this rejection, since the limitations of claim 1 and 5 have been added to claim 2, the limitation of claim 9 have been added to claim 8, and the limitation of claim 13 have been added to claim 12.

Okada discloses an electronic apparatus having a game function and a phone function, which comprises: an interruption key, a first register, interrupting means for interrupting, detecting mean for detecting and setting means for setting. The setting means for setting a first predetermined value into the first register in response to when the detecting mean for detecting detects an incoming call. The first predetermined value indicates to the interruption key. When the interruption key is pressed during a progress of the game, a pause state is set, whereby, a motion of the game screen is stopped, and the output of the BGM is interrupted. If the interruption key is pressed again, the pause state is canceled, whereby the game screen starts to move, and an output of the BGM is resumed.

Reference to line 16-21 on page 10 of the present invention, during playing (Step 108), it is only necessary for the user to press an interrupt key if the playing is to be interrupted or stopped. Therefore, whether the interrupt key has been pressed is determined (Step 112). The playing of the prayer voice data is interrupted and the menu having the religious prayers item is

entered (Step 101) if the answer is yes; otherwise, the voice module 14 continues playing the prayer voice data (Step 108).

Since the judging method provided by the invention are not the same as disclosed by the combination of Murata and Okada references, both references have not been cited to show the features of above description. Therefore, applicants submit that claims 3-4 are not anticipated thereby.

The combination of Murata and Okada references does not teach “setting a telephone service interrupt value” as recited in claims 8 and 12. Applicants submit that claims 10-11 and 13-14 are not anticipated thereby.

Claims 10-11,13-14 depend from claim 8 and claim 12, as such, are also considered to be allowance. In addition, each of these claims recites other features which make these claims additionally allowable.

Claim 16 depend from claim 12, as such, are also considered to be allowance. In addition, each of these claims recites other features which make these claims additionally allowable.

In addition, applicants submit that cancellation of claims 17-19 now overcomes this rejection. This cancellation was merely done to expedite prosecution.

Thus, all rejections should now be overcome and withdrawn. Favorable reconsideration and an early Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

Because the additional prior art cited by the Examiner has been included merely to show the state of the prior art and has not been utilized to reject the claims, no further comments concerning this document are considered necessary at this time.

Application No. 10/825,429
Amendment filed on February 15, 2006
Reply to Office Action of November 15, 2005


Docket No.: 2019-0250PUS1

In the event that any outstanding matters remain in this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: February 15, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Joe McKinney Muncy

Registration No.: 32,334

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant